

Trends in Astro-particle and Particle Physics

Sep 25-27, 2024

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai



Primordial power spectrum in light of JWST observations of high redshift galaxies


Ranjan Laha

Centre for High Energy Physics

Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru, India



P. Parashari & R. Laha,
[MNRAS: Letters, 526, L63-L69 \(2023\)](#)
[arXiv: 2305.00999](#)



Contents

Cosmology and James Webb Space Telescope (JWST)

Interplay between star formation efficiency and blue tilt in the primordial power spectrum from CEERS observation

Constraints on the red tilt in the primordial power spectrum using galaxies observed in the JADES program

Cosmology and JWST

Cosmology







Figure credit: Planck collaboration

Cosmology

--- *Planck* 2018 6-parameter fit to flat Λ CDM cosmology ---

baryon density of the Universe	$\Omega_b = \rho_b / \rho_{\text{crit}}$	$\dagger 0.02237(15) h^{-2} = \dagger 0.0493(6)$
cold dark matter density of the Universe	$\Omega_c = \rho_c / \rho_{\text{crit}}$	$\dagger 0.1200(12) h^{-2} = \dagger 0.265(7)$
100 $\times$ approximation to r_*/D_A	$100 \times \theta_{\text{MC}}$	$\dagger 1.04092(31)$
reionization optical depth	τ	$\dagger 0.054(7)$ PDG 2024
$\ln(\text{power prim. curv. pert.})$ ($k_0 = 0.05 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$)	$\ln(10^{10} \Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^2)$	$\dagger 3.044(14)$
scalar spectral index	n_s	$\dagger 0.965(4)$


How do we probe Λ CDM **more precisely** or in **newer regimes** or answer **outstanding questions** about it?





This region is not probed at all (before 2022)
Do we have **new data** regarding this region?

Discover the earliest galaxies observable and
answer **fundamental questions** about particle
physics and astrophysics/ cosmology

Earliest galaxies observed



Young (and thus hotter) stars emit mostly in the **UV** wavelengths





These UV emissions get **redshifted** to longer wavelengths (i.e., **optical** and **IR**)




$$\lambda_{\text{obs}} = (1 + z)\lambda_{\text{emit}}$$

We need **IR** telescopes to observe the **earliest galaxies**

JWST (James Webb Space Telescope)



Four different instruments on-board: **NIRSpec**, **NIRCam**, **MIRI**, and **NIRISS**







NIRSpec: Near Infrared spectrograph

NIRCam: Near Infrared Camera

MIRI: Mid Infrared Instrument

NIRISS: Near Infrared Imager and Slitless Spectrograph

JWST observations of earliest galaxies



Shen et al. MNRAS 525, 3254-3261 (2023)

Early data releases of JWST have revealed **several high redshift galaxy candidates** (Castellano et al.

2022; Finkelstein et al. 2022; Naidu et al. 2022; Adams et al. 2023; Atek et al. 2023; Bouwens et al. 2023; Donnan 2023; Harikane et al. 2023; Robertson et al. 2023; Yan et al. 2023 and many others)

Most of these galaxy candidates were identified **photometrically**, and later confirmed by **spectroscopic** observations

Brighter and more numerous than expected from prior observations at lower redshifts

JWST observations as a test of Λ CDM cosmology

How do such massive galaxies form so early in the Universe (~ 600 Myr after the Big Bang)?

How do we test Λ CDM cosmology using such JWST data sets?

Galaxy formation involves understanding gravitational dynamics for N-body systems involving large cosmological simulations (with uncertain baryonic physics involved)

These are the first cosmological observations at these redshifts; thus availability of cosmological simulations were initially limited

Can we get test fundamental assumptions of Λ CDM cosmology using semi-analytical arguments?

JWST observations of massive galaxies at high redshifts

Semi-analytical method to calculate the number of dark matter halo per unit mass and per unit volume as a function of redshift (**halo mass function**)

We utilise the extended **Press-Schechter formalism** to compute the statistics of non-linear density field from the linear power spectrum

This gives a way to statistically compare with the **JWST data set**

$$\frac{dn}{d \ln M} = M \frac{\rho_0}{M^2} f(\sigma) \left| \frac{d \ln \sigma}{d \ln M} \right| \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{where } n = \text{number density of dark matter haloes} \\ M = \text{dark matter halo mass} \end{array}$$

ρ_0 = mean density of the Universe

σ = mass variance of smoothed linear matter density field in a sphere of radius R

$$M = \frac{4\pi}{3} \rho_0 R^3$$

see cosmology text books by Baumann or Dodelson + Schmidt

$f(\sigma)$ = **fitting function** obtained using **Press - Schechter formalism** and including corrections for **ellipsoidal collapse**, calibrated to numerical simulations


Halo mass function

The mass variance (σ) depends on the **linear matter power spectrum**, $P(k)$, as

$$\sigma^2(R) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty k^2 P(k) W^2(kR) dk$$

where k = wavenumber and $W(kR)$ is the filter function in Fourier space

$P(k) = P_{\text{prim}}(k)T^2(k)$ where $P_{\text{prim}}(k)$ is the **primordial power spectrum**, predicted in various very early Universe cosmological models and $T(k)$ = transfer function




$$P_{\text{prim}}(k) \propto k^{n_s}$$

where $n_s = 0.965 \pm 0.004$ is the scalar spectral index

Heavier dark matter haloes form later in the Universe in a **bottom-up approach** as observed

JWST is probing halo mass function at the highest redshifts: we must be able to probe the primordial power spectrum using this data-set

Primordial power spectrum



A variety of cosmological observables (using CMB, Lyman-alpha forest observations, UV luminosity functions, etc.) probe the primordial power spectrum at various length scales

Can we use JWST observations to probe the primordial power spectrum better than current observations?

A model-independent modification to the primordial power spectrum

We parametrize a model-independent modification to the primordial power spectrum by two independent parameters:



$$P_{\text{prim}}(k) \propto k^{n_s}, \quad \text{for } k < k_p,$$

$$\propto k_p^{n_s - m_s} k^{m_s}, \quad \text{for } k > k_p$$

k_p = pivot scale

m_s = tilt index

For $m_s > n_s$, the power spectrum will be blue tilted on scales $k > k_p$, and it is red tilted if $m_s < n_s$.



How to convert dark matter halo mass to corresponding stellar mass?

JWST does not measure the dark matter halo mass directly, but measures the stellar light emitted by a galaxy: we need a **prescription to convert the dark matter halo mass to stellar mass** (JWST articles that we used specified the stellar mass rather than the UV luminosity)

Given a **halo mass** M , what is the **stellar mass** M_* ?

Assuming a redshift z , the cosmic baryon fraction is $f_b = \frac{\Omega_b}{\Omega_m}$ where $\Omega_b = 0.0493$ and $\Omega_m = 0.3153$

Stellar mass inside a dark matter halo of mass M will be $M_* = \epsilon f_b M$ where $\epsilon \leq 1$ denotes the **star formation efficiency** (depends on star formation physics)

Cumulative co-moving number density of haloes with masses above some mass threshold is

$$n(> M_{\text{halo}}, z) = \int_{M_{\text{halo}}}^{\infty} dM \frac{dn(M, z)}{dM}$$

Boylan-Kolchin 2208.01611

Cumulative co-moving mass density of haloes with masses above some mass threshold is


$$\rho(> M_{\text{halo}}, z) = \int_{M_{\text{halo}}}^{\infty} dM M \frac{dn(M, z)}{dM}$$

Cumulative co-moving galaxy number density (CCGND) is denoted by $n_*(> M_*, z)$

Cumulative co-moving stellar mass density (CCSMD) is $\rho_*(> M_*, z) = \epsilon f_b \rho(> M_{\text{halo}}, z)$

Interplay between star formation efficiency and blue tilt in the primordial power spectrum from CEERS observation


JWST-CEERS observations of massive galaxies at high redshifts



Using the first observations of JWST Cosmic Evolution Early Release Science (CEERS) program, Labbe et al. identified 6 galaxy candidates with stellar masses $\gtrsim 10^{10} M_\odot$ with $7.4 \leq z \leq 9.1$

★ displays Milky Way stellar mass = $(6.08 \pm 1.14) \times 10^{10} M_\odot$

Licquia and Newman 1407.1078





How do such massive galaxies form so early in the Universe (~ 600 Myr after the Big Bang)?

Why do they have such a high number density?

JWST-CEERS observations of massive galaxies at high redshifts


Blue-tilted primordial power spectrum can reduce the required star formation efficiency $z = 9$ $z = 7.5$




$$m_s = 2.0 \text{ and } k_p = 1 \text{ h Mpc}^{-1}$$

Blue-tilted primordial power spectrum produces heavier dark matter halos at the same redshift, and heavier dark matter halos can host a larger amount of baryons + stars

JWST-CEERS observations of massive galaxies at high redshifts




Parameter space that can explain the Labbe et al results while having a low star formation efficiency without taking into account constraints from other observables



Parameter space may be in conflict with various other observables

JWST-CEERS observations of massive galaxies at high redshifts

Hirano & Yoshida (arXiv: 2306.11993) did numerical simulations with a blue-tilted power spectrum and found their results consistent with our results




Sabti, Munoz, and Kamionkowski (arXiv: 2305.07049) performed an analysis by assuming a Gaussian enhancement in the power spectrum and found that the enhancement required to explain Labbe et al. (2023) observations will conflict with previous constraints on these scales by Hubble Space Telescope, which is consistent with our results

Constraints on the red tilt of the
primordial power spectrum using galaxies
observed in the JADES program

JADES observations of massive galaxies at high redshifts

Using some of the early observations of JWST Advanced Deep Extragalactic Survey (JADES) program, Curtis-Lake et al. and Robertson et al. discovered four galaxies spectroscopically

Keller et al., 2212.12804 compared these observations with numerical simulations and found that they are consistent with theoretical expectations; they also displayed lower limits on the cumulative number density of galaxies above a given stellar mass







Four of the earliest galaxies by JADES: JADES-GS-z10-0 at $z = 10.38^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$, JADES-GS-z11-0 at $z = 11.58^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$, JADES-GS-z12-0 at $z = 12.63^{+0.24}_{-0.08}$, and JADES-GS-z13-0 at $z = 13.2^{+0.04}_{-0.07}$.


JADES observations of massive galaxies at high redshifts

Red-tilted primordial
power spectrum produces
lesser number of heavier
dark matter halos at the
same redshift

These observations give a
lower limit on the
cumulative number
density of galaxies above
a given stellar mass; thus
the underlying power
spectrum cannot be too
red-tilted, implying a
constraint on red-tilt of
the primordial power
spectrum



JADES observations of massive galaxies at high redshifts



Assuming $\epsilon = 0.042$, we show the **maximum value of the red tilt** that is allowed by the data. The red tilt allowed depends on the pivot scale $k_p \in [1, 2] \text{ h Mpc}^{-1}$

In the range $k \approx 2 \text{ h Mpc}^{-1} - 7 \text{ h Mpc}^{-1}$, we obtained the **most stringent constraint on the red tilt in the primordial power spectrum**

Conclusions

JWST has opened up a new window to probe our high-redshift Universe

JWST has discovered a number of massive galaxies at very high redshifts

We show that a blue tilted primordial power spectrum can lead to an enhanced formation of massive galaxies at high redshifts

Such a blue tilt may be in conflict with other measurements, especially using gravitational lensing and Hubble Space Telescope observations

We also used some spectroscopically confirmed galaxies in JADES program to derive the most stringent bound on the red tilt of the primordial power spectrum at scales $k \approx 2 \text{ h Mpc}^{-1} - 7 \text{ h Mpc}^{-1}$

We demonstrated the power of JWST to probe the primordial power spectrum: near future data-set may lead to an even more stringent constraint or a discovery!

Questions & comments: ranjanlaha@iisc.ac.in