Distinguishing atmospheric muon neutrinos from anti-neutrinos using large collider detectors

> Satyanarayan Mukhopadhyay IACS, Kolkata

Based on work done with Deep Ghosh, Biswarup Mukhopadhyay, arXiv:2409.THIS\_WEEK

TAPP 2024, IMSc, Chennai September/25, 2024

### **Atmospheric Muon Neutrino vs Antineutrino Flux**

Atmospheric neutrinos are produced in the interaction of primary cosmic rays (~90% protons, ~9% alpha particles, small amount of heavier nuclei) with the air molecules in the atmosphere, such as Nitrogen and Oxygen.

Depending on the energy of the primary proton, different mesons can be produced in these interactions:

$$p + Nitrogen \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}, \pi_0, K^{\pm}, K_L, K_S...$$

These mesons subsequently decay to generate a flux of atmospheric muons and neutrinos of different flavours.

At low energies, the most copiously produced mesons are charged and neutral pions, where the charged pions decay before reaching the sea-level (a 10 GeV pion travels  $\sim 0.5$  km before decaying):

$$\pi^+ \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu$$
$$\pi^- \to \mu^- \overline{\nu}_\mu$$

If the muon energy is less than ~ 2.5 GeV, it also decays within the ~15 km atmosphere:  $\mu^+ \to e^+ \nu_e \overline{\nu}_\mu$ 

$$\mu^- \to e^- \overline{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$$

### Atmospheric Muon Neutrino vs Antineutrino Flux

Therefore for energies of order a GeV or so, we expect both charged pion decays to produce equal number of muon neutrino and muon anti-neutrinos, as long as the muons decay on their way to the sea-level:

$$R_{\nu\overline{\nu}} = \frac{N_{\nu\mu}}{N_{\overline{\nu}\mu}} \sim \mathcal{O}(1), \text{ upto a } \sim \text{GeV}$$

For higher energies, the muons do not decay before reaching sea-level, and the ratio starts to increase, as more  $\pi^+(u\overline{d})$  are produced compared to  $\pi^-(\overline{u}d)$  in proton interactions with air molecules, due to valence vs sea quark PDF differences.

At even higher energies, K-mesons are also produced in larger numbers, with more  $K^+(u\overline{s})$  than  $K^-(\overline{u}s)$  with the following dominant decay modes:

 $K^+ \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu(64\%), \pi^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu(3\%), \pi^+ \pi^0(21\%), \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-(6\%), \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0(2\%)$ 

Combining these inputs, we see that the ratio  $R_{\nu\overline{\nu}}$  starts from 1 at low energies, and then grows at higher energies. Its value, averaged over all zenith angles is around 1.2 at 10 GeV neutrino energy, 1.4 at 100 GeV, 1.5 at 1 TeV, etc, using the flux model of Honda et al.



10<sup>2</sup>

10<sup>0</sup>

 $10^{-1}$ 

10<sup>1</sup>

 ${f E}_{
m V}$  (GeV)

10<sup>3</sup>

10<sup>4</sup>

energy. Solid, dashed, and dotted lines show the prediction by [25,28,29], respectively, (same key as Fig. 3).

[25]: Battistoni et al, [28]: Honda et al, [29]:Barr et al

### **Atmospheric Neutrino Detection**

Although neutrinos are the most abundant cosmic rays at the sea level, their detection is hard due to small neutrino-nucleon scattering cross-sections.

The cross-section for producing a charged lepton (averaged over neutrino and antineutrino) in a broad energy range is approximately:

$$\sigma \simeq 0.5 \times 10^{-38} \text{cm}^2 \times E_{\nu} \text{ (GeV)}$$

The neutrino flux around 1 GeV energy, summed over all directions is around:  $1 {
m cm}^{-2} {
m s}^{-1}$ 

The interaction rate for 1 GeV atmospheric neutrinos is thus of order:

$$1\frac{\nu}{\mathrm{cm}^{2}\mathrm{s}} \times \frac{0.5 \times 10^{-38} \mathrm{cm}^{2}}{\mathrm{nucleon}} \times \frac{6 \times 10^{32} \mathrm{nucleons}}{\mathrm{kiloton}} \times \frac{3.15 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{year}} \sim 100 \frac{\mathrm{neutrino\ interactions}}{\mathrm{kiloton\ year}}$$

Hence to study the charged current interactions of neutrinos, we need a detector of **at** least few kilotons fiducial mass, running for few hundred live days

In addition, to distinguish neutrinos from anti-neutrinos using charged current processes, we need a detector with an ability to **distinguish a charged lepton from an antilepton, possibly with a magnetic field** 

# Atmospheric Muon Neutrino vs Antineutrino: MINOS experiment

Although the MINOS experiment was operated primarily using a neutrino beam, it carried out a study of atmospheric neutrinos when the beam was OFF.

The MINOS far detector had a mass of 5.4 kton, but only around 4 kton fiducial mass was available for atmospheric neutrino studies.

It had a magnetic field of 1.3 T in the far detector, making neutrino vs anti-neutrino studies feasible.

Measurements of atmospheric neutrino and antineutrino interactions in the MINOS Far Detector were made, based on 2553 live-days of data. A total of 2072 candidate events are observed. These are separated into 905 contained-vertex muons and 466 neutrino-induced rock-muons, both produced by charged-current interactions.

For contained vertex events they reported a ratio of muon neutrino to anti-neutrino of about 2.2 (with a 10% statistical error) and for neutrino-induced rock muons a ratio of 1.6 (with a 15% statistical error). Energy dependence of this ratio was not reported.

#### MINOS Collaboration, arXiv:1208.2915

### The ATLAS Detector @ LHC: Largest Collider Detector Ever Built



25m

22m

# How much time for neutrino studies using ATLAS?

The LHC beams are not in circulation during the winter months, while the detector and magnetic fields are ON during most of this period (for cosmic ray studies and detector alignment and other checks). If around 100 days per year are available with the detector/B-fields ON, but the LHC beams OFF, then in 10 years, 1000 live-days for neutrino physics should be feasible. If instead around 60 days/year are available, 15 years will be necessary for the same statistics.

Neutrinos@ATLAS: F. Vannucci, Petcov & Schwetz (2006), Kopp & Lindner (2007), Wen et al (2024)



### Atmospheric Neutrino induced Muons @ ATLAS detector

TM: Hits in Tracker and Muon Chamber: Necessary for low-energy muons

M: Hits only in Muon Chamber: Works for only high-energy muons





**Downward going contained vertex events** 





Μ

Upward going contained vertex events

# **Eliminating Backgrounds: Cosmic Ray Muons in ATLAS**

**GMM**, 2024



Down-going cosmic ray muons: cannot mimic down-going contained vertex atmospheric neutrino signal — cosmic muons first necessarily hit the upper muon chamber, while the signal muon is generated 7m deeper in the detector at the HCAL.

For the upward going contained-vertex signal with no hits at tracker first (i.e., produced at the upper HCAL), timing information is necessary from the RPC plates of the muon chamber. The cosmic muons hit the top-most layer earliest, and conversely for the signal muons. The timing resolution of RPC's is around 1.5 ns, and a muon travels the 7m muon chamber in about 23 ns, and the full ATLAS width of 22 m in about 73 ns.

### Neutrino-Nucleon Scattering Rates: some more details

At low momentum transfer, there is a quasi-elastic process (nucleon changes type but does not break up):  $\nu_\mu + n \to \mu^- + p$ 

At slightly higher neutrino energies (a few GeV), resonant inelastic processes such as the following are observed:  $\nu_{\mu} + n \rightarrow \mu^{-} + \Delta^{+} \rightarrow \mu^{-} + p + \pi^{0}$ 

At higher energies still, neutrino interactions are dominated by the neutrino deep inelastic scattering process:  $\nu_{\mu} + N(n,p) \rightarrow \mu^- + X$ 

 $\overline{\nu}_{\mu} + N(n,p) \to \mu^+ + X$ 



Formaggio, Zeller, 2013

Neutrino cross-sections are larger than anti-neutrino cross-sections

### Neutrino-Nucleon Deep Inelastic Scattering Rates





#### <u>Contained Vertex Events at ATLAS</u> **GMM**, 2024

#### 11 kton-year exposure (1000-live days)



#### **Before rapidity and event selection cuts**

$E_{\mu}$	$N_{\mu^-}$	$N_{\mu^+}$	$N_{\mu^-}/N_{\mu^+}$
$> 3 { m GeV}$	102	54	1.89
$> 5 { m GeV}$	64	33	1.94
> 10  GeV	33	17	1.94
> 20  GeV	17	8	2.13

### **TM: Tracker + Muon Chamber Hits**

### **M: Only Muon Chamber Hits**

**GMM**, 2024

### After rapidity $|\eta| < 2.5$ and event selection cuts

Energy	$N_{\mu^-}$	$N_{\mu^+}$	$N_{\mu^-}/N_{\mu^+}$	Category
$3 \le E_{\mu} \le 10 \text{ GeV}$	31	17	1.82	Only TM
$5 \le E_{\mu} \le 10 \text{ GeV}$	14	7	2.00	Only TM
$E_{\mu} > 10 \text{ GeV}$	30	15	2.00	TM & M
$E_{\mu} > 20 \text{ GeV}$	15	7	2.14	TM & M
Total: $E_{\mu} \geq 3 \text{ GeV}$	63	32	1.97	

### **External Upward-going Events at ATLAS**



Timing information is the key in distinguishing these events from cosmic ray muons

**Event rate much larger due to the** large effective mass for the rock column, higher the muon energy, higher the range

# **External Upward-going Events at ATLAS**

**GMM**, 2024



#### **Before event selection cuts**

$E_{\mu}$	$N_{\mu^{-}}$	$N_{\mu^+}$	$N_{\mu^-}/N_{\mu^+}$
> 3  GeV	700	341	2.05
> 5  GeV	624	300	2.08
> 10  GeV	517	244	2.12
> 20  GeV	409	189	2.16

After event selection cuts with  $|\eta| < 2.5$ 

Energy	$N_{\mu^{-}}$	$N_{\mu^+}$	$N_{\mu^-}/N_{\mu^+}$
$3 \le E_{\mu} \le 10 \text{ GeV}$	164	87	1.82
$5 \le E_{\mu} \le 10 \text{ GeV}$	96	50	1.89
$E_{\mu} > 10 \text{ GeV}$	464	219	1.92
$E_{\mu} > 20 \text{ GeV}$	368	171	2.12
Total: $E_{\mu} \geq 3 \text{ GeV}$	630	307	2.05

### Energy dependence of the charged muon ratio



# **Summary**

The ratio of atmospheric muon neutrinos and anti-neutrinos is an important quantity, for which there is still a large uncertainty in the prediction of the flux models.

Therefore, it is important to be able to directly measure this quantity in neutrino physics experiments, as a function of neutrino energy.

However, most neutrino detectors do not distinguish between muon neutrinos and antineutrinos.

Magnetized detectors can discriminate on an event-by-event basis between (anti-)neutrino induced events by measuring the electric charge of the (anti-)muon: MINOS experiment had such a detector.

The large collider detector ATLAS at CERN LHC can be used for this purpose during the periods when the LHC beams are OFF — it is sufficiently heavy for neutrino physics (the hadron calorimeter weighs 4 kilotons), and finely instrumented to reject cosmic ray muon backgrounds.

While contained-vertex events are the most striking, upward going outside events have a larger rate due to the much larger fiducial mass available from the rock-column in the earth below the detector.